



Article 10 (SFDR) Website disclosure for an Article 8 fund

Franklin Templeton Investment Funds – Franklin Technology Fund (the "Fund")

Version	Date of publication	Date of update (if	Explanation
		any)	(if any)
1.0	27 December 2022	25 August 2023	
2.0	28 March 2024		
3.0	19 July 2024		



Product name: Franklin Templeton
Investment Funds – Franklin Technology

Legal entity identifier: 549300P9XZCZFA3DI319

Fund				
Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?				
☐ Yes	⊠No			
☐ It will make a minimum of sustainable	⊠ It promotes Environmental/Social (E/S)			
investments with an environmental objective:% in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	characteristics and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of 10% of sustainable investments \[\begin{array}{l} with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy \emptyred{array} with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy \emptyred{array} with a social objective			
☐ It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with a social objective:%	☐ It promotes E/S characteristics, but will not make any sustainable investments			



A. Summary

The Fund promotes environmental and social characteristics in accordance with Article 8 of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (the "SFDR") and aims to invest in companies with positive environmental, social, governance ("ESG") results.

In its implementation of the Fund's ESG strategy, the Investment Manager favors issuers with a high-quality and/or an improving ESG profile, as captured by its proprietary ESG methodology. In addition, the Fund applies specific ESG exclusions.

The Fund's environmental or social characteristics are assessed both quantitatively and qualitatively, by means of sustainability indicators as well as of the Investment Manager's proprietary ESG methodology and its research and engagement process further described in the Website disclosure's dedicated sections. As part of its investment decision making process, the Fund's ESG strategy also uses binding criteria for the selection of underlying assets.

Finally, the Fund has a minimum allocation of 10% of its portfolio to sustainable investments. The Fund ensures that its sustainable investments do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective.



B. No sustainable investment objective



This financial product promotes environmental or social characteristics but does not have as its objective a sustainable investment.

However at least 10% of the Fund's portfolio will be allocated to sustainable investments.

What are the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make and how does the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The sustainable investments made by the Fund are in equity securities issued by companies which:

- derive at least 25% of their revenues from products or services that address at least one of the 17 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) (source: MSCI); or
- gain and maintain Science Based Target Initiative (SBTi) approved carbon emission reduction targets and are thus considered as contributing to fighting against climate change.

How do the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make, not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The Investment Manager uses a combination of third-party (MSCI) Do No Significant Harm ("DNSH") test, United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) compliance test, Principal Adverse Impacts (PAI) considerations, and other ESG factors deemed material by the Investment Manager and which are embedded in the Investment Manager's fundamental research and proprietary ESG analysis to review if investments cause significant harm to any sustainable investment objective.

How have the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors been taken into account?

When assessing compliance of the Fund's sustainable investments with the DNSH principles, the Investment Manager takes into account all mandatory PAI indicators of Table 1 of Annex I of the SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards ("RTS") to the extent they are relevant for the investments contemplated by the Fund and other data points deemed by the Investment Manager as proxies for adverse impact. The Investment Manager performs this analysis at the level of each sustainable investment so that the relevance and materiality of the PAI indicators may vary across investments. Issuers deemed to be in breach of these indicators will not qualify as sustainable investments.

Are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?



The Fund's sustainable investments portion will not be invested in companies that, according to MSCI, do not observe the main international conventions (UNGC principles (the "UNGC Principles"), Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (the "OECD") Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights). Exceptions can only be made after formal review of alleged violations has been carried out and where the Investment Manager either disagrees with the conclusion that the company is complicit in violations of the principles of such conventions or has determined that the company has made and implemented positive changes deemed satisfactory to appropriately address the deficiency/violation. The severity of the violation, response, frequency, and nature of the involvement are considered in making a judgement on whether the company observes international conventions.



C. Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product

What are the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Fund are specific to each company and industry in which the Fund operates. These characteristics consist of *inter alia*, cybersecurity and data privacy, support of human capital and/or environmental impact (e.g., carbon emissions, water usage, and e-waste). The Investment Manager seeks to attain these characteristics by excluding certain issuers and sectors considered by the Investment Manager as harmful for the society while favoring issuers with a good environmental, social and governance (the "ESG") profile, as captured by its proprietary ESG methodology. Both the excluded issuers and sectors and the ESG rating methodology are further described in section "What investment strategy does this financial product follow?" below.

The Fund has a minimum allocation of 10% of its portfolio to sustainable investments. Of those sustainable investments, the Fund has a minimum allocation of 1% of its portfolio to sustainable investments with an environmental objective and a minimum allocation of 1% of its portfolio to sustainable investments with a social objective.

No reference benchmark has been designated to attain the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted.



D. Investment strategy

What investment strategy does this financial product follow and how is the strategy implemented in the investment process on a continuous basis?

The Investment Manager employs a binding proprietary ESG methodology to determine a company's profile on relevant ESG issues.

The Investment Manager evaluates the companies which may be potential investment for the Fund ("Fund's Investment Universe") and assigns an overall ESG rating based on quantitative and qualitative factors such as cybersecurity and data privacy, the usage of controversial materials, support of human capital, diversity and inclusion as well as environmental impact (carbon emissions, -waste, water usage). The rating assigned to the issuers by the Investment Manager based on the proprietary ESG methodology comprises four grades: AAA (best in class/very good), AA (good), A (fair) and B (needs improvement). The Investment Manager's ESG approach includes regular dialogue with companies, monitoring material ESG issues and voting proxies. Companies rated "B" or those not rated



due to the company not meeting the Investment Manager's fundamental criteria are excluded from the Fund's portfolio.

The Fund's stock selection criteria is based on the Investment Manager's fundamental bottom-up research with growth, quality, and valuation analysis at its core. It simultaneously assesses target issuers for positive ESG characteristics, aiming to allocate at least 10% of the Fund's portfolio to sustainable investments as defined by the Investment Manager as investments in companies that: (i) derive at least 25% of their revenues from products and services that address at least one of the 17 UN SDG's (source: MSCI), or (ii) gain and maintain Science Based Target Initiative (SBTi) approved carbon emission reduction targets and thus are considered to be contributing to fighting against climate change. In addition, the target company would be considered a sustainable investment if it meets the criteria of the DNSH and Good Governance tests, both of which are assessed using data from MSCI.

The Fund also applies specific ESG exclusions and does not invest in companies which according to the Investment Manager's analysis:

- seriously violate the UNGC Principles (without positive perspective) for that purpose, the Fund does not invest in companies which the Investment Manager assesses as fail under the UNGC Principles;
- generate more than 10% of revenue from the production and/or distribution of weapons;
- are involved in the production, distribution or wholesale trading of dedicated and/or key components of banned weapons (i.e., antipersonnel mines, biological and chemical weaponry and cluster munitions);
- manufacture tobacco or tobacco products or those that derive more than 5% of their revenue from such products;
- generate more than 10% of their revenue from thermal coal extraction or coal-based power generation.

Finally, the Fund excludes from its portfolio companies that fail to improve on the integrated PAIs described above after engagement. Companies could be removed from the exclusion list after they show improvement on the relevant PAIs.

If a security held by the Fund falls under at least one of the Fund's exclusions, the Investment Managers will divest from such security as soon as practicably possible. Divestment will normally occur within a period of one month, unless market conditions such as limitations on liquidity require a longer divestment period taking into account Shareholders' best interests.

What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The binding elements of the investment strategy can be summarized as follows:

- the exclusion of certain sectors and companies described in the investment strategy section of this annex (see above);
- the exclusion of companies rated B under proprietary methodology; and



- the commitment to exclude companies failing to improve on the PAIs considered after engagement.

What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies?

Governance is assessed regularly as part of the Investment Manager's fundamental analysis.

To score corporate governance, the Investment Manager uses a combination of quantitative metrics (such as compensation details, diversity and inclusion metrics, controversy metrics) and qualitative assessments (board independence, board composition, existence of dual class shares).

The Investment Manager further incorporates other qualitative factors such as capital allocation, research and development success, crisis management, acquisition history and communication with investors.

Certain governance related controversies (e.g., concerns regarding executive compensation or perceived lack of independence of board directors) could result in a company being uninvestable by our standards despite strong fundamentals or other metrics.

Is there a commitment to reduce by a minimum rate the scope of investments considered prior to the application of the strategy? (including an indication of the rate)

Not applicable.

Does this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

⊠Yes

The Fund specifically considers the following principal adverse impacts (the "PAIs"):

- Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions;
- Scope 1+2 and material Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions intensity; and
- board gender diversity.

The consideration of the specified PAIs is tied to the Fund's fundamental investment analysis as well as the Fund's ESG assessment of investee companies. The Investment Manager believes that these PAIs are applicable to the widest range of the Fund's investments and represent the largest opportunity set for engagement.

With regards to **greenhouse gas emissions**, the Investment Manager is committed to engage with the investee companies to encourage them to establish and commit to greenhouse gas emissions reductions plans, that are in accord with science based long term goals of net-zero emissions by 2050. The Investment Manager works with companies to move them up the scale of committing to align with net zero targets, being aligned to achieve net zero, and reaching net zero emissions. While the Investment Manager understands that, in the short-term, absolute emissions may increase for some companies as they work on transition plans, therefore, the consideration of greenhouse gas emission intensity helps the



Investment Manager to monitor that overall companies' greenhouse gas emissions are trending in a direction aligned with greenhouse gas emissions reductions over time.

With regards to **board gender diversity**, the Investment Manager is committed to engage with the investee companies to ensure their boards are representative of the customers they serve as the Investment Manager believes this helps them to better understand their consumer base, create better products, and ultimately be more efficient companies. Initially, the Investment Manager works to identify companies that do not have board gender diversity and set time-based thresholds for drafting a plan to increase board gender diversity. The Investment Manager believes it is reasonable to increase the acceptable level of board gender diversity over time and to engage with companies that are laggards relative to their industry peers.

At the time of new investments for the above PAIs, the Investment Manager baselines the portfolio and investee companies and looks to drive improvement on both fronts over time through engagement. The Fund is engaging with investee companies to set and follow science-based emissions reductions targets and is seeking to follow a portfolio coverage approach, with increasing weight in companies that have achieved net zero greenhouse gas emissions, are aligned with net zero or are aligning. The goal for the portfolio is to achieve 100% in these categories by 2040. For board gender diversity, the Fund's initial commitment is to engage with all companies that lack any gender diversity with an expectation for them to develop at minimum a plan to increase board gender diversity within 18 months. The Investment Manager intends to increase the expectations of board gender diversity over time. Consequences of a lack of improvement on these measures for investee companies eventually include divestment if the Investment Manager does not see a path for improvement. If a decision to divest occurs, divestment will normally occur within a period of one month, unless market conditions such as limitations on liquidity require a longer divestment period taking into account Shareholders' best interests.

Finally, the Fund is committed to excluding investments in companies that produce or distribute **controversial weapons** or who fail to comply with the UNGC Principles without positive outlook for remediation.

More information on how the Fund cons	sidered its PAIs may b	pe found in the p	periodic reporting
of the Fund.	-		






E. Proportion of investments

What is the planned asset allocation for this financial product?

The Investment Manager employs a binding proprietary ESG methodology which is applied to at least 90% of the Fund's portfolio to determine a company's profile on relevant ESG issues. At least 90% of the Fund's portfolio is aligned with environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Fund. The remaining portion of it (<10%), mainly consisting of liquid assets (ancillary liquid assets, bank deposits, money market instruments and money market funds) is not aligned with the promoted characteristics.

Furthermore, the Fund will invest a minimum of 10% of its net assets in sustainable investments, with a minimum allocation of 1% of net assets towards environmental objectives and 1% towards social objectives.





#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

How does the use of derivatives attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?


Not applicable.


What is the minimum share of investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy? (including what the minimum share of transitional and enabling activities is)



Not applicable.

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.







What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Fund commits to a minimum 1% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective.

What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with a social objective?

The Fund commits to a minimum 1% of sustainable investments with a social objective.

What investments are included under "#2 Other", what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The "#2 Other" investments, constituting up to 10% of the portfolio, may include investments in liquid assets (ancillary liquid assets, bank deposits, money market instruments and money market funds) held for the purposes of servicing the day-to-day requirements of the Fund or investments for which there is insufficient data for them to be considered ESG-related investment. Due to the neutral nature of the asset, no minimum safeguards have been put in place.



F. Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics

What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The sustainability indicators used to measure the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted are:

- the share of companies rated AAA, AA, A, and B by proprietary ESG methodology;
 and
- the share of companies having exposure to, or tying with excluded sectors and additional exclusions further in the investment strategy section of this annex.

How are the environmental or social characteristics and the sustainability indicators monitored throughout the lifecycle of the financial product and the related internal/external control mechanism?



The environmental or social characteristics and the sustainability indicators (including PAI indicators) are monitored throughout the lifecycle of the product.

Initial investment phase

ESG screenings of investments are performed during the initial acquisition phase.

Prior to investment, the Investment Manager screens all potential investments to confirm they meet the Fund's criteria and to confirm the portfolio remains in compliance with its policies. In addition, the Investment Manager ensures that the Fund does not invest in the exclusion list.

More information on the exclusion list and on the ESG rating methodology can be found under the section "D. Investment Strategy".

Holding period

Once investments have been made, ongoing monitoring and reporting are performed.

The Investment Manager conducts reviews to ensure ongoing compliance with the policies and analyses the investments exposure at a portfolio level for possible adverse impact. Exclusions/restrictions based on scoring are coded into the Fund's pre trade engine for compliance monitoring. The Investment Manager is responsible for the correct execution of the ESG due diligence, which includes activities such as ensuring ESG ratings are assigned to each investment in accordance with the underlying equity research process.

Divestment

If a decision to divest occurs, divestment will normally occur within a period of one month, unless market conditions such as limitations on liquidity require a longer divestment period taking into account Shareholders' best interests.

Control mechanisms

The Investment Manager is responsible for supervising and improving the implementation of the ESG policies and responsible investment process across all investments.

All binding elements of the Fund's ESG commitments are regularly reviewed and monitored as part of the Fund's Investment Compliance process.

In addition, sustainability risk is integrated in the Fund's risk management processes since 1st August 2022, in line with the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/1255 and Delegated Directive (EU) 2021/1270.



G. Methodologies

What is the methodology to measure the attainment of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product using the sustainability indicators?



The Fund's stock selection criteria is based on the IM's fundamental bottom-up research with growth, quality, and valuation analysis at its core. It simultaneously assesses target issuers for positive ESG characteristics, aiming to allocate at least 10% of the portfolio to sustainable investments as defined by the IM as investments in companies that: (i) derive at least 25% of their revenues from products and services that address at least one of the 17 UN SDG's (source: MSCI), or (ii) gain and maintain Science Based Target Initiative (SBTi) approved carbon emission reduction targets and thus are considered to be contributing to fighting against climate change. In addition, the target company would be considered a sustainable investment if it meets the criteria of the Do No Significant Harm and Good Governance tests, both of which are assessed using data from MSCI. Since third party data alone will not be sufficient to consider a security as sustainable investment, the covering analyst together with the IM's ESG research associate will undertake a validation process to confirm that the issuer under consideration meets or exceeds the amount of revenue derived from products and services that address at least one of the 17 UN SDG's, or has the appropriately approved carbon emission reduction targets outlined above. The Fund measures the attainment of the environmental or social characteristics by using on an ongoing basis and reporting on an annual basis the sustainability indicators mentioned under the section "F. Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics".

The Fund relies on the following criteria:

1. ESG rating

The environmental and social characteristics promoted are considered as attained if companies rated "B" or those not rated due to the company not meeting the Investment Manager's fundamental criteria are excluded from the Fund's portfolio.

The ESG rating methodology is described under the section "D. Investment Strategy".

2. Negative screens

The environmental and social characteristics promoted are considered as attained if the share of companies having exposure to, or ties with, the sectors and the additional exclusions further described in the pre-contractual disclosure equals 0%.



H. Data sources and processing

What are the data sources used to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics including the measures taken to ensure data quality, how data is processed and the proportion of data that is estimated?

Data sources

Multiple data sources are utilized by the Investment Manager, including third-party data providers, company documents, and direct interactions with company management. Third party data providers include MSCI, Sustainalytics, Bloomberg, CDP, ISS, and Glass Lewis.

Measures to ensure data quality

Reasonable steps are taken to ensure data quality, such as comparing data from multiple sources or validating company reported data against third-party sources.

Data processing



The equity analysts build spreadsheets that include the relevant material ESG factors for their industries. In these spreadsheets, the equity analysts collect data from various sources and perform qualitative assessments to create ESG scores for companies. Data may be cross referenced between multiple sources for accuracy and validated against company provided CSR reports. Analysts review the collected data in the context of their ESG framework and materiality matrix and utilize it for the development of their ESG ratings. Frameworks are updated at least annually and are stored along with ESG ratings and recommendations.

Estimated data

The proportion of estimated data varies by data point due to inconsistencies in reporting by investee companies.



I. Limitations to methodologies and data

What are the limitations to the methodologies and data sources? (including how such limitations do not affect the attainment of the environmental or social characteristics and the actions taken to address such limitations)

Limitation to the methodology

The Fund carries out due diligence on the underlying assets in the investment process on a regular basis as described under the section "F. Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics.

Limitation to the data sources

Inherent limitations to the data sources exist. Not all companies provide data on all the factors the Investment Manager has defined as material.

To overcome this issue, the Investment Manager uses estimates, finds alternative data sources, or removes that specific factor from their analysis.



J. Due diligence

What is the due diligence carried out on the underlying assets and what are the internal and external controls in place?

The Fund carries out due diligence on the underlying assets in the investment process on a regular basis as described under the section "F. Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics.



K. Engagement policies



TEMPLETON				
Is engagement part of the environmental or social investment strategy?				
⊠ Yes				
□ No				
If so, what are the engagement policies? (including any management procedures applicable to sustainability-related controversies in investee companies)				
Engagement is about encouraging companies to improve their ESG practices over the long run through a constructive and structured dialogue.				
• Implementation				
Engagement consists of:				
engaging in discussion with investee companies in the Fund's portfolio to fully grasp their ESG issues; and				
encouraging investee companies to be transparent and to publicly disclose their ESG data and policies; and				
- asking investee companies to make alterations in corporate policy in order to improve their ESG characteristics; and				
- getting involved in collective engagement initiatives on a case-by-case basis; and				
- following up with the company on engagements, up to and including voting against management in proxies or deciding to divest if a lack of significant improvements persists.				
All data concerning issues that arise during these discussions as well as potential requests for improvement are collected via a centralized data repository containing analyst comments on the engagement.				
Progress is monitored and assessed on at least an annual basis, or more frequently depending on the engagement plan.				
Corrective measures following a controversy				
When companies are flagged for a specific controversy, the Fund's analysts would follow up with perspective and potential engagement plans if necessary. If an analyst disagrees with the third party on the severity of the controversy or believes the company has already made positive progress in correcting the issue no engagement may be necessary.				
Scope and themes covered				
Engagement topics are typically surfaced as part of the Fund's ESG scoring process.				

Areas are identified in which an investee company appears to be deficient, either on an absolute or peer relative basis. The analysts are then responsible for engagement on



those topics, either via a dedicated engagement meeting or as part of their standard company interactions.

The Fund's engagement opportunities cover all companies in the portfolio. Covered themes vary by industry but typically reflect either broad ESG topics or narrower issues where a company may be lagging peers or industry standards.

Reporting

Examples of engagement are included in the Fund's annual SRDII reports

https://franklintempletonprod.widen.net/view/pdf/ppswek4nuv/franklin-templetonstewardship-report-en.pdf

